UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,141	10/27/2003	Jeff Cuppett	00121-003100000	6452
31064 WIESNER & A	7590 09/03/200 ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
366 CAMBRID	GE AVENUE		LAMARRE, GUY J	
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,141	CUPPETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Guy J. Lamarre	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 						
•						
	·—					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice t	Inder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 G.D	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-11 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10/27/2003 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  caminer.	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International.  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

## **DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

\* The Examiner notes that Species II of the last office action included Claims 7-8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- \* Claims 1-6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e,b) as being anticipated by USPN 6728861 to Roach et al., or Applicant's Admitted Prior Art or in the alternative as being obvious over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and USPN 6356944 to McCarty because Switch Fabric 430 in McCarty provides hardware for error detection and correction in format/command frames passing therethrough at col. 8 line 6.
- As per Claims 1-6, 9-11, Roach et al. discloses validation of format and command information via hardware at wire speed in a fiber optic environment and logging of format and command information detected as errorneous/invalid, e.g., 'A device in a Fibre Channel network may include a frame receive queue coupled to a node port. The frame receive queue may receive frames from the node port and extract the Start-of-Frame(SOF) and End-of-Frame (EOF) delimiters for the frame and the header and first eight words in the payload (PLW 0-7). The frame receive queue may alternate the storing of this information between two memory banks. Frame validation circuitry may use the information in the memory banks to perform consistency checks on received frames and produce a result for each frame.' at col. 3 line 20 et seq..
- .2 As per Claims 1-6, 9-11, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses validation of format and command information in software and logging of format and command information detected as errorneous/invalid at paras. 3-5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 SECOND PARAGRAPH

- \* The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
  - Claims 1-6, 9-11 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112 SECOND PARAGRAPH for failing to particularly point out and distinctly define the subject matter which the applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/695,141 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

regards as his invention because it is not clear to the Examiner how the gates are

configured in hardware to validate format/command or what the structure of gates is.

• It is also not clear to the Examiner how the gates are configured in hardware to effect

format/command validation at wire speed.

**CONCLUSION** 

\* Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques, can be reached at (571) 272-6962.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3609.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Guy J Lamarre/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112